57 SOUTH STREET – JOINT MANAGEMENT BOARD (Min. 444/00)

1 SUMMARY

- 1.1. At the meeting of Council held on 19 December 2000, Members considered a report seeking nominations for two representatives to serve on the Joint Management Board of 57 South Street.
- 1.2. Council instructed the Corporate Director (Finance and External Services) to ascertain whether or not it would be possible for the authority to have four representatives on the Board.

2 CURRENT POSITION

- 2.1. The Management agreement, which the three partners, Essex County Council, Rochford District Council and Rochford Parish Council, have all agreed to, only makes provision for two representatives from each authority, plus two representatives from the young people who use the facility..
- 2.2. The agreement does provide for the Management Board to co-opt people as it sees fit.
- 2.3. The Board has not yet met, consequently it is not possible for a decision to be taken on co-option until the first meeting is held.
- 2.4. However, Essex Youth Service and Rochford Parish Council have been contacted in order to ascertain whether or not their representatives would be prepared to agree to Rochford District Council having two additional representatives co-opted onto the Board.
- 2.5. The Youth Service did not feel it would be correct to express a view until the matter was considered by the Board. The Parish Council representatives would be mindful of the County response, but are prepared to consider the issue.

3 THE WAY FORWARD

- 3.1. If Council does still wish to have four representatives, it is suggested that two be nominated to serve on the Board and two be nominated for co-option in the event of the Board agreeing to the request.
- 3.2. It would then be necessary for Council officers and our two representatives to ensure that our request for two co-opted representatives be considered at the first meeting of the Board on 28 February.

3.3. It is anticipated that there will be four meetings per annum. Council will need to decide whether or not attendance should qualify as an approved duty.

4 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1. There will be officer time involved in organising and attending meetings. This will probably be in the region of 16-20 hours per annum.
- 4.2. Should Council agree attendance as an approved duty, then attendance allowances will be payable to the Member representatives. The current budget allocation for Members Allowances would meet this cost.

5 PARISH IMPLICATIONS

5.1. This is a valuable facility for Rochford Parish as it provides information for youth in an area that has been identified in the Crime and Disorder Reduction Strategy as requiring investment.

6 **RECOMMENDATION**

It is proposed that the Committee **RESOLVES**

- 1. To nominate two Members to represent the Council on the Joint Management Board.
- 2. To determine a view as to whether or not to request the co-option of two additional representatives
- 3. To determine whether attendance should be an approved duty.

Roger Crofts

Corporate Director (Finance and External Services)

For further information please contact Roger Crofts on:-

Tel:-01702 546366 Extn. 3006E-Mail:-roger.crofts@rochford.gov.uk