# ASSET MANAGEMENT - PROPERTY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

#### 1 SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to advise Members of Property Performance Indicators, as reported to the Department for Communities and Local Government. The relevant issues are around Best Value Indicators and the provision of information for Local Performance Indicators, to assist the Council in developing its Asset Management Plan.
- 1.2 The Local Performance Indicators were rewritten to align better with the corporate aims and objectives, in terms of energy usage and space occupied, to provide better management information in cost and utilisation of the Council's Assets.

#### 2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 In previous years the Council was required to report the following indicators to government in relation to its operational and non-operational buildings. This information continues to be used by the property performance network of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance as a national benchmark.

National Indicator Number	Indicator Detail
1A	%Gross Internal floor space in condition categories A – D.
1B	Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  As total value
	<ul> <li>As a percentage in priority levels 1-3.</li> <li>2A, 2B and 2C relate to internal rate of return on Industrial, Retail and Agricultural portfolios.</li> </ul>
3A	Total annual management costs per square metre for operational property.
3B	Total annual management costs per square metre for non operational property. (Not reported as we have no non-operational property.)
4A	Repair and maintenance costs per square metre, GIA (Gross Internal Area).
4B	Energy Costs per square metre GIA.
4C	Water costs per square metre GIA.
4D	To measure CO <sup>2</sup> emissions in tonnes of carbon dioxide per square metre.
5A	Percentage of project costs where outturn falls with +/- 5% of the estimated outturn, expressed as a percentage of the total projects completed in that financial year.
5B	Percentage of projects falling within +/- 5% of the estimated timescale, expressed as a percentage of the total projects completed in that financial year.

- Definitions for condition categories A-D and priority levels 1-3 are included in the table in Appendix A.
- 2.2 Local performance indicators were developed to address local issues, including matters such as disability access and building energy and maintenance costs, which have more local impact and assist the Authority in managing the buildings. The performance indicators also provide useful management information on Asset Management and assist in making decisions about future priorities and projects.
- 2.3 The Disability Access indicators are no longer being reported, the only outstanding accessibility issue being one room at Great Wakering Sports Centre. However disability access is considered as part of every maintenance scheme related to property and improvements are included where practicable.
- 2.4 Figures for 2005/06 and 2006/07 have been included in Appendices A, and B, to give a 3 year comparison of performance of the indicators.

#### 3 THE COUNCIL'S BUILDINGS

- 3.1 The Council's portfolio contains buildings that are not comparable in terms of construction, use and energy efficiency. It is very difficult to compare the offices at Rochford with the Civic Suite and the Old House, which are from significantly different times, hence monitoring of the individual buildings provides more useful information in management terms.
- 3.2 Local Performance Indicators provide information useful to the Council in the challenge, review and evaluation of the holding and performance of assets.

  Existing Local Performance Indicators are included in appendices A, and B, in terms of the following:-
  - To reduce the energy costs of individual Council buildings by a target of 5%.
  - To reduce the building maintenance costs of individual Council buildings by a target of 5%. The variable nature of the buildings has a strong influence on maintenance costs and works to improve the buildings have affected costs in the last two years
  - To reduce the percentage of operational buildings, surplus to requirements.
- 3.3 The Asset Management Plan identifies that there are no operational buildings that were disposed of as surplus to requirements in 2006/07. However Members have considered 57 South Street for disposal in 2007.
- 3.4 Space utilisation figures for the Council Offices have not varied from the last report and have therefore been omitted. Usage is 11.73m<sup>2</sup> per person. Following the transfer of Housing under LSVT this figure will change for 2007/08.

- 3.5 New Performance Indicators are listed in Appendix C. The cost and time indicators are for planned and capital schemes, greater in value than £1,000.
- 3.6 Use of the Performance Indicators has led to some direct benefits that are measurable to date. The reductions in water usage are showing in meter readings at South Street with a reduction in 2006/07 of over 600,000 litres of water over 2005/06. Electricity usage has also reduced by 2.5% year on year, not meeting the original target, but proving that reductions are being made.

### 4 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Criminal Damage reports are submitted to committee, indicating the cost of vandalism to Council assets. The level in 2006/07 did not significantly increase on the previous year. The actual figure will be reported with the criminal damage report.

#### 5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The work on energy management is designed to meet the Government's targets to reduce CO<sup>2</sup> emissions. Refurbishment of the public conveniences and staff toilets in the offices will have reduced water usage by approximately 1.5 million litres per year when the programme is completed by the Autumn of 2007. Energy efficiency is also being taken into account as part of this process and electrical fittings are being replaced by the most energy efficient available.
- 5.2 An Energy and Water Management Policy and Strategy is currently being prepared for Council Buildings and will use the PIs developed through this report. It is important that this Council demonstrates that it is taking the Environment seriously and its being proactive in managing its emissions.
- 5.3 Part of this strategy also includes energy procurement which is carried out by the Head of Finance, Audit and Performance Management. Procurement has recently changed to the OGC (Office of Government Commerce) contract which should yield further cost savings.

#### 6 RECOMMENDATION

6.1 It is proposed that the Executive Board **RESOLVES** 

To note the changes in performance indicators from 2005/06 to 2006/07 and the improvement to energy and water usage as measured by the Pls.

David Timson

Property Maintenance & Highways Manager

# **Background Papers:-**

None

For further information please contact David Timson on:-

Tel:- 01702 318110

E-Mail:- <u>david.timson@rochford.gov.uk</u>

If you would like this report in large print, braille or another language please contact 01702 546366.

# **APPENDIX A**

Performance % in each category	Indicator	Definition	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
1A %Gross Internal floor space in condition category A – Good, Performing as intended and operating efficiently B – Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C – Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value than 2D – Priority levels 1- 3	Indicator	Bennidon			
Internal floor space in condition Category A – Good, Performing as intended and operating efficiently actegories A-D B – Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C – Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total value 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3 Percentage in priority levels 1-3 Desirable work required annual  3A Total annual				1	I
Internal floor space in condition categories A-D fficiently a line of deterioration condition categories A-D			category	category	category
space in condition categories A-D efficiently  B – Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C – Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3 eprevent is enough to be provent is enough	1A %Gross	Condition Category			
condition categories A-D  efficiently B - Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3  2D As Total annual  efficiently B - Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major 2.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Internal floor	A – Good, Performing as	74.4	68.2	71.3
categories A-D  B - Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1 Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3  Positive levels 1-3  D - Satisfactory, As A but with minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  This is the figure of identified works required works required to the public conveniences and changing pavilions.  Priority levels 1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2. Essential work required within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual	space in	intended and operating			
minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  This is the figure of identified works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  This becklog of maintenance costs expressed This is the figure of identified works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  Priority levels 1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2. Essential work required within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  Minor deterioration  2.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 E306,200 £183,000 £183,000  £183,000	condition	efficiently			
minor deterioration C - Poor, Showing major defects and/or not operating as intended D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  This is the figure of identified works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  This becklog of maintenance costs expressed This is the figure of identified works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  Priority levels 1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2. Essential work required within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  Minor deterioration  2.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 E306,200 £183,000 £183,000  £183,000	categories A-D	B – Satisfactory, As A but with	23.3	31.8	28.7
defects and/or not operating as intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3 Desirable work required within 13-5 years  1					
defects and/or not operating as intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3 Essential work required within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric.  3 Desirable work required within 3-5 years  defects and/or not operating as intended 0 0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0		C – Poor, Showing major	2.2	0	l o l
intended D – Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 Desirable work required work required work required works that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric.  3 Desirable work required within 3-5 years  10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					
D - Bad, Life expired and/or serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed 1) As total Value  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed of maintenance costs expressed  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3  2A Total annual		. •			
serious risk of imminent failure  1B Backlog of maintenance works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  1) As total Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 prevent serious deterioration of the fabric.  3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  This is the figure of identified works fidentified works required 42004/05 included completion of the percentage in works that will 4269,000 £306,200 £183,000 files fi			0	0	l o l
This is the figure of identified works required. 2004/05 included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  The Backlog of Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  Priority levels  1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 Desirable work required within 3-5 years  This is the figure of identified works required works required to provide the figure of identified works required works required works required public conveniences and changing pavilions.  £269,000 £306,200 £183,000  £306,200 £183,000  £47% 26% 11%  47% 26% 11%  43% 37% 79%  79%  79%  37% 79%  10%					
maintenance costs expressed included completion of the Public conveniences and Value changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1-3  Bercentage in priority levels 1-3  Desirable work required within 3-5 years  works required. 2004/05  £269,000  £306,200  £183,000  £306,200  £183,000  £306,200  £306,200  £306,200  £183,000  £306,200  £306,200  £306,200  £306,200  £183,000  47%  26%  11%  36%  11%  37%  37%  37%  37%  37%  37%  37	1B Backlog of				
costs expressed 1) As total Value 21 Public conveniences and Value 22) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 23 Percentage in priority levels 1- 3 3A Total annual 2 Included completion of the Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  47% 26% 11% 26% 11% 26% 11% 26% 11% 26% 26% 26% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20	•				
1) As total Value Changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 Desirable work required within 3-5 years  Public conveniences and changing pavilions.  Priority levels  1. Urgent works that will 47% 26% 11% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26			£269.000	£306.200	£183.000
Value changing pavilions.  1B Backlog of maintenance costs expressed  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 Desirable work required annual  2A Total annual  Priority levels  1. Urgent works that will 47% 26% 11% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26				1200,200	
maintenance costs expressed  1. Urgent works that will prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious Health and safety issues  2) As a percentage in priority levels 1- 3 within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual	,	changing pavilions.			
costs expressed prevent immediate closure of premises. Serious  2) As a Health and safety issues percentage in priority levels 1- 3 within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric.  3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual	<b>1B</b> Backlog of	Priority levels			
of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2. Essential work required priority levels 1- within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual	maintenance	<ol> <li>Urgent works that will</li> </ol>	47%	26%	11%
of premises. Serious Health and safety issues 2. Essential work required priority levels 1- within two years that will prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual	costs expressed	prevent immediate closure			
percentage in priority levels 1- 3	'				
percentage in priority levels 1- 3	2) As a	Health and safety issues			
prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required 9% 37% 10% within 3-5 years			43%	37%	79%
prevent serious deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required 9% 37% 10% within 3-5 years	priority levels 1-	within two years that will			
deterioration of the fabric. 3. Desirable work required within 3-5 years  3A Total annual					
within 3-5 years  3A Total annual		•			
within 3-5 years  3A Total annual		3. Desirable work required	9%	37%	10%
3A Total annual					
	<b>3A</b> Total	,			
	annual				
114.00   14.00   150.00	management		£14.85	£14.56	£6.60
costs / square					
m. for					
Operational	_				
property.	•				

Indicator	Definition	2004/05 Performance % in each category	2005/06 Performance % in each category	2006/07 Performance % in each category
<b>4A</b> Repair and maintenance costs per square metre GIA		£33.19	£31.59	£34.82
<b>4B</b> Energy Costs per square metre GIA	Energy costs are subject to market prices.	£7.40	£12.50	£16.75
<b>4C</b> Water costs per square metre GIA	Subject to market prices	£2.22	£1.87	£1.83
<b>4D</b> To measure CO <sup>2</sup> Emissions in tonnes of carbon dioxide per square metre.		Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
<b>5A</b> Percentage of project costs where outturn falls with +/-5% of the estimated outturn, expressed as a percentage of the total projects completed in that financial year.	Only Capital schemes within that financial year over £100,000.	No projects completed within this financial year over £100,000	50%	50%
<b>5B</b> Percentage of projects falling within +/- 5% of the estimated timescale, expressed as a percentage of the total projects completed in that financial year.		No projects completed within this financial year over £100,000	No projects completed within this financial year over £100,000	50% This does not include HRA projects

## **APPENDIX B**

# LOCAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR BUILDINGS

Indicator	Buildings monitored	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	Definition of Indicator
To reduce the energy requirement of individual Council	Civic Suite	£7.95	£10.72	£7.47	1.The individual building energy costs for heating, lighting and other power consumption 2. To compare the unit
operational buildings by comparing energy usage.	Rochford Offices	£9.16	£12.87	£16:15	energy costs per square metre for the Council's main operational buildings and see where savings can be identified
To reduce the building maintenance costs for	Civic Suite	£21.67	£11.56	£26.37	1.Building maintenance costs for all building elements
individual Council operational buildings.	Rochford Offices	£19.61	£24.19	£31.27	2.The unit maintenance costs per square metre for the Council's main operational buildings

## **APPENDIX C**

## **ENERGY INDICATORS**

Indicator	Buildings Monitored	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Definition
E1.	Rochford Offices	337704	329464		Consumption as measured through metering of supply
electricity consumed	Civic Suite	35884	25377**		to these buildings
	Rochford Depot	32041	32683		
E2. CuM of water	Rochford Offices	5062	4445		Consumption as measured through metering of supply
consumed	Civic Suite	not available	n/a		to these buildings
	Rochford Depot	846	706		
E3. Units of Gas	Rochford Offices	18341	12634		Consumption as measured through metering of supply
consumed shown as	Civic Suite	0	0		to these buildings
Kwh equivalent	Rochford Depot	87250	59161		
E4. Target Energy	Rochford Offices	5%	5% 2.5% Actual	5%	Refurbishment includes review of facilities to target reductions in
Savings due to refurbishment	Civic Suite	0%	5%	5%	energy and water usage
programme	Rochford Depot	0%	5%	5%	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Meter readings have been estimated and some adjustments made so figure may not reflect actual usage for the year. Now carrying out meter reading in house to provide more accurate figures

# **SPACE UTILISATION INDICATORS**

Indicator	Buildings Monitored	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Definition
SU1 The percentage of offices which are occupied at a rate lower than 5m2 or 11cu.m per person	Rochford Offices	59% 46 rooms	59% 46		Over utilised space No. of rooms occupied as Offices = 78  Total Number of rooms = 118
SU2 The percentage of offices which are occupied at a rate higher than 5m2 or 11cu.m per person	Rochford Offices	5.1% 4 rooms	5.1% 4		Under utilised space Note this may be due to various factors related to the configuration of the building, i.e. small fixed offices
SU3 The percentage of office space that is used for storage only	Rochford Offices	11% 13 rooms	11% 13		Implementation of Comino document imaging to reduce storage
SU4 The percentage of office space refurbished during the current year	Rochford Offices	12% 14 rooms	9% 10		Influenced by the Council restructuring as rooms may be revamped to suit new arrangements
SU5 The percentage of office space which meets modern office requirements	Rochford Offices	16%	25% 29		1.Adequate for computer station to meet H&S regulations 2.Lighting meets computer requirements 3. Office has better than adequate ventilation. 4. The room is in satisfactory decorative order

## **COST INDICATORS**

Indicator	Buildings Monitored	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Definition
C1 Cost of refurbishing office space per sq.m.	Rochford Offices and Civic Suite	£75	£75		refurb, carpets, decoration minor upgrading
C2 Cost of refurbishing toilets per sq.m.	Rochford Offices and Civic Suite	£308	£308		This is for office Toilets only
C3 Cost of other refurbishment per sq.m.	Rochford Offices and Civic Suite	None this year	N/a		
C4 No of planned schemes completed within budget	All Buildings	76%	95%		
C5 %age of capital schemes completed within budget	All Buildings	50%	95%		

# **TIME INDICATORS**

Indicator	Buildings Monitored	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	Definition
T1 No of planned / Capital schemes completed on time	All buildings	50%	50%		Schemes over £5000 completed within +/- 10% of the planned implementation
T2 %age of planned schemes completed on time	All buildings	62.5%	75%		