## PLANNING SERVICES COMMITTEE THURSDAY 20TH MARCH 2003 ADDENDUM

## **SCHEDULE ITEMS**

0	
8	Negotiations have been ongoing with the applicants with regard to two concerns outstanding at the time the report was drafted. These relate to the design of windows and the design and listed building implications of the proposed link between Roche building and the listed Chapel.
	Window Design The applicants have provided plans showing different options available. The key is to find a design which meets the functional needs of the hospital but which is acceptable is design terms, given the location of some of the proposed buildings within the conservation area. From the plan recently received, it is anticipated that a solution will be found that is acceptable to all. An update will be provided at the Committee.
	Chapel Link Negotiations have continued to find an acceptable means of linking the listed Chapel with the Roche Building. An acceptable solution has been found and it is anticipated that these revised plans will be tabled at the Committee.
	Tug Garage A set of plans have been received relating to a garage building intended to house the hospital's electric tug vehicles. Nearest neighbours and the Parish Council have been notified of these plans. Due to the need for notification, it is recommended that, subject to the Committee's approval, the authority be delegated to the HOPS to approve the application in consultation with Ward Members upon expiry of the notification period. (NB: such a course is already recommended on this application, in respect of the final approval of the window design).
D1	Environment Agency confirm Buchanan Consulting Engineers have addressed flood risk issue correctly and raise no objection.
	4 further letters of objection received from <b>local residents</b> , many of the points raised repeat those set out in paragraph 1.14, further issues raised are:-
	<ul> <li>concern re potential short/medium/long term damage to brook bank on southern side (due to proposed holding/storage tank for surface water).</li> <li>sediment build up in holding/storage tanks causing flooding.</li> <li>concern re erosion of brook bank on southern side due to works to northern side of bank.</li> <li>does not follow "affordable housing" guidelines</li> </ul>
D2	Two further responses have been received from the <b>Highway Authority</b> in relation to the latest revised plan (third round consultation), arising from which the further following Heads of Conditions to be added to those already included in the recommendation at para 2.65:
	<ul> <li>6. No beneficial occupation of dwellings until such time as accessway and junction completed.</li> <li>7. Save for the main access, all other vehicular accesses to be provided with pedestrian visibility splays 1.5m x 1.5m (no obstruction above 600mm).</li> </ul>

	8. Plots 1 & 2 to be provided with a turning facility. 9. The layout of dwellings on Plots 3 & 4 to be amended such that the distance between the outer limit of the parking space and the opposite side of the accessway is 6m
Referred item R9	A letter has been received from the applicant's agent withdrawing the vehicular crossover from the current application. The letter goes on to say that it is still intended to provide gates across the alleyway for security purposes.