

Appendix 1

THE CLEAN NEIGHBOURHOODS AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 2005

The Dog Control Orders (Prescribed Offences and Penalties, etc.) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059).

THE FOULING OF LAND BY DOGS (ROCHFORD) ORDER 2008

The Rochford District Council (in this Order called "the Council") makes the following Order:-

- 1 This Order comes into force on the day of 2008.
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.

Offence

- 3 (1) If a dog defecates at any time on land to which this Order applies and a person who is in charge of the dog at that time fails to remove the faeces from the land forthwith, that person shall be guilty of an offence unless:-
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his failing to do so.
- (2) Nothing in this article applies to a person who:-
 - (a) is registered as a blind person in a register compiled under Section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948; or
 - (b) has a disability which affects his mobility, manual dexterity, physical coordination or ability to lift, carry or otherwise move everyday objects, in respect of a dog trained by a prescribed charity and upon which he relies for assistance.
- (3) For the purposes of this article:-
 - (a) a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog;
 - (b) placing the faeces in a receptacle on the land which is provided for the purpose, or for the disposal of waste, shall be a sufficient removal from the land;

- (c) being unaware of the defecation (whether by reason of not being in the vicinity or otherwise), or not having a device for or other suitable means of removing the faeces shall not be a reasonable excuse for failing to remove the faeces;
- (d) each of the following is a “prescribed charity”:-
 - (i) Dogs for the Disabled (registered charity number 700454)
 - (ii) Support Dogs (registered charity number 1088281)
 - (iii) Canine Partners for Independence (registered charity number 803680)

Penalty

- 4** A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

(Date)

The COMMON SEAL of the)
ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL was)
Hereunto affixed this)
Day of 2008 in the presence of:)

Head of Legal Services

Schedule

1. Subject to the exception in paragraph 2 below, all land which is in the administrative area of the Council and which is:-
 - (i) open to the air (which includes land that is covered but open to the air on at least one side) and
 - (ii) to which the public are entitled or permitted to have access with or without payment.
2. Excepted from the description in paragraph 1 above is land that is placed at the disposal of the Forestry Commissioners under section 39(1) of the Forestry Act 1967.

Appendix 2

THE CLEAN NEIGHBOURHOODS AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 2005

The Dog Control Orders (Prescribed Offences and Penalties, etc.) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059)

THE DOGS EXCLUSION (ROCHFORD) ORDER 2008

The Rochford District Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on the day of 2008.
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.

Offence

- 3 (1) A person in charge of a dog shall be guilty of an offence if, at any time, he takes the dog onto, or permits the dog to enter or to remain on, any land to which this Order applies unless:-
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his doing so.
- (2) Nothing in this article applies to a person who:-
 - (a) is registered as a blind person in a register compiled under Section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948; or
 - (b) is deaf, in respect of a dog trained by Hearing Dogs for Deaf People (registered charity number 293358) and upon which he relies for assistance; or
 - (c) has a disability which affects his mobility, manual dexterity, physical co-ordination or ability to lift, carry or otherwise move everyday objects, in respect of a dog trained by a prescribed charity and upon which he relies for assistance.
- (3) For the purpose of this article:-
 - (a) a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog: and
 - (b) each of the following is a 'prescribed charity':-
 - (i) dogs for the Disabled (registered charity number 700454)

- (ii) support dogs (registered charity number 1088281)
- (iii) Canine Partners for Independence (registered charity number 803680)

Penalty

- 4** A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

(Date)

The COMMON SEAL of the)
ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL was)
Hereunto affixed this)
Day of 2008 in the presence of:)

Head of Legal Services

Schedule

All land within the Council's administrative area comprising any enclosed children's play space. Without prejudice to the foregoing, this shall include the enclosed children's play spaces which are situated within following areas:-

King George's PF, Ashingdon Road, Rochford

Playstalls, Off Little Wakering Road, Wakering

Canewdon Recreation Ground, Althorne Way, Canewdon

Rowan Way, Canewdon

Great Wakering Recreation Ground, High Street, Wakering

Seaview Drive, Wakering

Morrins Close, Wakering

Glebe Close, Wakering

Conway Avenue, Wakering

Clements Hall Recreation Ground, Park Gardens, Hawkwell

Hawkwell Common, Hawkwell

Magnolia Nature Park, Rectory Road, Hawkwell

Hockley Woods, Main Road, Hockley
Laburnum Grove, Hockley
Betts Wood, Westminster Drive, Hockley
Plumberow Mount Avenue, Hockley
Hullbridge PF, Pooles Lane, Hullbridge
Rawreth PF, Rawreth Lane, Rayleigh
Fairview PF, Victoria Road, Rayleigh
Grove Recreation Ground, Grove Road, Rayleigh
Sweyne Park, Rayleigh
St John Fisher PF, Little Wheatley Chase, Rayleigh
Causton Way, Rayleigh
Boston Avenue, Rayleigh
Hartford Close, Rayleigh
Fyfield Path, Rayleigh
Elsenham Court, Rayleigh
King George's PF, Bull Lane, Rayleigh
Bedford Close, Rayleigh
Warwick Drive/Sutton Court Drive, Rochford
Rochford Recreation Ground, Stambridge Road, Rochford

Appendix 3

THE CLEAN NEIGHBOURHOODS AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 2005

The Dog Control Orders (Prescribed Offences and Penalties, etc.) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059)

THE DOGS ON LEADS (ROCHFORD) ORDER 2008

The Rochford District Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on the _____ day of _____ 2008.
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.

Offence

- 3 (1) A person in charge of a dog shall be guilty of an offence if, at any time, on any land to which this Order applies he does not keep the dog on a lead, unless:-
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his failing to do so.
- (2) For the purposes of this article a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog.

Penalty

- 4 A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

(Date)

The COMMON SEAL of the _____)
ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL was _____)
Hereunto affixed this _____)
Day of _____ 2008 in the presence of: _____)

Head of Legal Services

Schedule

1. Subject to the exemption in paragraph 2 below, each and every length of road (which term includes pavements or footways) in the Rochford District except public footpaths and bridleways.
2. Excepted from the description in paragraph 1 above is land that is placed at the disposal of the Forestry Commissioners under section 39(1) of the Forestry Act 1967.

Appendix 4

THE CLEAN NEIGHBOURHOODS AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 2005

The Dog Control Orders (Prescribed Offences and Penalties, etc.) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/1059)

THE DOGS ON A LEAD BY DIRECTION (ROCHFORD) ORDER 2008

The Rochford District Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on the day of 2008.
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.
- 3 In this Order 'an authorised officer of the Authority' means an employee of the Authority who is authorised in writing by the Authority for the purpose of giving directions under this Order.

Offence

- 4 (1) A person in charge of a dog shall be guilty of an offence if, at any time, on any land to which this Order applies, he does not comply with a direction given him by an authorised officer of the Authority to put and keep the dog on a lead, unless:-
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his failing to do so.
- (2) For the purposes of this article:-
 - (a) a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog.
 - (b) An authorised officer of the Authority may only give a direction under this Order to put and keep a dog on a lead if such restraint is reasonably necessary to prevent a nuisance or behaviour by the dog likely to cause annoyance or disturbance to any other person (on any land to which this Order applies) or the worrying or disturbance or any animal or bird.

Penalty

- 5** A person who is guilty of an offence under article 3 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

(Date)

The COMMON SEAL of the)
ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL was)
Hereunto affixed this)
Day of 2008 in the presence of:)

Head of Legal Services

Schedule

1. Subject to the exemption in paragraph 2 below, all land which is in the administrative area of the Council and which is:-
 - (i) open to the air (which includes land that is covered but open to the air on at least one side) and
 - (ii) to which the public are entitled or permitted to have access with or without payment.
2. Excepted from the description in paragraph 1 above is land that is placed at the disposal of the Forestry Commissioners under section 39(1) of the Forestry Act 1967.

Appendix 5

THE CLEAN NEIGHBOURHOODS AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 2005

The Dog Control Orders (Prescribed Offences and Penalties, etc.) Regulations 2006 (S.I.2006/1059)

THE DOGS (SPECIFIED MAXIMUM) (ROCHFORD) ORDER 2008

The Rochford District Council hereby makes the following Order:

- 1 This Order comes into force on day of 2008.
- 2 This Order applies to the land specified in the Schedule.
- 3 On land to which this Order applies, the maximum number of dogs which a person may take onto that land is four.

Offence

- 4 (1) A person in charge of more than one dog shall be guilty of an offence if, at any time, he takes onto any land in respect of which this Order applies more than the maximum number of dogs specified in article 3 of this Order, unless:-
 - (a) he has a reasonable excuse for doing so; or
 - (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his doing so.
- (2) For the purposes of this article a person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog.

Penalty

- 5 A person who is guilty of an offence under article 4 shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

(Date)

The COMMON SEAL of the)
ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL was)
Hereunto affixed this)
Day of 2008 in the presence of:)

Schedule

1. Subject to the exemption in paragraph 2 below, all land which is in the administrative area of the Council and which is:-
 - (i) open to the air (which includes land that is covered but open to the air on at least one side) and
 - (ii) to which the public are entitled or permitted to have access with or without payment.
2. Excepted from the description in paragraph 1 above is land that is placed at the disposal of the Forestry Commissioners under section 39(1) of the Forestry Act 1967.

Appendix 6

RESULTS FROM PUBLIC CONSULTATION OCT 2007

The public survey was available on the internet between 24 August and the 22 October 2007.

- The RDM questionnaires were circulated with closing date was 22 October 2007.
- 27.71% of the surveyed residents who completed the RDM questionnaire was a dog owner, in contrast 78.37% of those who took part in the internet consultation were a dog owners.

The full results are shown below:-

Question	RDM	Website
<i>Do you own or look after a dog?</i>		
Yes		
Number	480	223
Percent	27.71%	72.40%
No		
Number	1210	73
Percent	69.86%	23.70%
No answer		
Number	42	12
Percent	2.42%	3.90%
Total	1732	308
<i>Do you believe dogs should always be kept on leads in the following locations?</i>		
<i>Shopping precincts?</i>		
Yes		
Number	1696	295
Percent	97.92%	95.78%
No		
Number	23	12
Percent	1.33%	3.90%
No answer		
Number	13	1
Percent	0.75%	0.32%
<i>Large parks?</i>		
Yes		
Number	742	18
Percent	42.84%	5.84%

Question	RDM	Website
No Number Percent	928 53.58%	290 94.16%
No answer Number Percent	62 3.58%	0 0
<i>Parks and other amenity green areas?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	848 48.96%	17 5.52%
No Number Percent	827 47.75%	289 93.83%
No answer Number Percent	57 3.29%	2 0.65%
<i>On footpaths adjacent to any road?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	1629 94.05%	258 83.77%
No Number Percent	87 5.02%	49 15.91%
No answer Number Percent	16 0.92%	1 0.32%
<i>On footpaths adjacent to roads with a speed limit of 40mph or over?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	1646 95.03%	283 91.88%
No Number Percent	51 2.94%	23 7.47%
No answer Number Percent	35 2.02%	2 0.65%
<i>Do you think dogs should be banned altogether from the following areas?</i>		
<i>Shopping Precincts?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	894 51.62%	62 20.13%
No Number Percent	794 45.84%	245 79.55%

Question	RDM	Website
No answer Number Percent	44 2.54%	1 0.32%
<i>Large parks?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	228 13.16%	0 0
No Number Percent	1427 82.39%	307 99.68%
No answer Number Percent	77 4.45%	1 0.32%
<i>Parks and other amenity green areas?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	359 20.73%	3 0.97%
No Number Percent	1304 75.29%	304 98.70%
No answer Number Percent	69 3.98%	1 0.32%
<i>Children's play areas in parks?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	1583 91.40%	225 73.05%
No Number Percent	124 7.16%	81 26.30%
No answer Number Percent	25 1.44%	2 0.65%
<i>Do you think that there should be a limit on the number of dogs that a person can walk at any time?</i>		
Yes Number Percent	1336 77.14%	150 48.70%
No Number Percent	344 19.86%	157 50.97
No answer Number Percent	52 3.00%	1 0.32%

Question	RDM	Website
<i>If so, what do you think the maximum number should be?</i>		
1		
Number	116	27
Percent	9.16%	18.75%
2		
Number	783	32
Percent	61.80%	22.22%
3		
Number	238	58
Percent	18.78%	40.28%
4		
Number	112	9
Percent	8.84%	6.25%
5		
Number	9	16
Percent	0.71%	11.11%
6		
Number	8	1
Percent	0.63%	0.69%
20		
Number	1	1
Percent	0.08%	0.69%
Total	1267	144
<i>Do you think that there is a problem in Rochford as a result of:-</i>		
<i>Dog fouling?</i>		
Yes		
Number	1096	87
Percent	63.28%	28.25%
No		
Number	535	214
Percent	30.89%	69.48%
No answer		
Number	101	7
Percent	5.83%	2.27%
<i>Stray dogs?</i>		
Yes		
Number	117	22
Percent	6.76%	7.14%
No		
Number	1369	277
Percent	79.04%	89.94%
No answer		
Number	246	9
Percent	14.20%	2.92%

Question	RDM	Website
<i>Aggressive dogs</i>		
Yes		
Number	266	26
Percent	15.36%	8.44%
No		
Number	1203	274
Percent	69.46%	88.96%
No answer		
Number	263	8
Percent	15.18%	2.60%
<i>Under current legislation it is an offence not to clean up after a dog has fouled in any public place in any part of Rochford other than in woodland</i>		
<i>Do you agree with this?</i>		
Yes		
Number	1648	298
Percent	95.15%	96.75%
No		
Number	69	10
Percent	3.98%	3.25%
No answer		
Number	15	0
Percent	0.87%	0

Appendix 7

OTHER COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE PUBLIC

Number of dogs allowed per dog walker - many people were concerned about this issue – most of the phone calls were around this topic.

What qualifications the Enforcement Officer will have to deal with dogs?

Fixed Penalty Notices must be high otherwise people will not respect the enforcement.

The applicable Dog Control Orders should be advertised at the entrances of all open spaces and the District boundary.

When the Dog Control Orders will be enforced?

When will be the public meetings to discuss the Dog Control Orders?

Was the Kennel Club consulted?

Will RDC be promoting the dog training clubs?

Woodlands should be used for dogs to carry out their exercises.

The Internet consultation was open to everybody in the world and not restricted just to residents of Rochford.

Comments received from Holly Lee, Public Affairs Manager The Kennel Club 12 October 2007

Proposed Dog Control Orders: Kennel Club Briefing

1. Background to Kennel Club Involvement

- The Kennel Club is the governing body of dogs in the United Kingdom amongst whose main objectives is to promote in every way the general improvement of all dogs and encourage responsible dog ownership.
- As part of its External Affairs activities the Kennel Club runs a dog owners group – KC Dog. KC Dog was established to keep dog owners up to date about dog control orders being introduced across the country. The group is an information providing service and is free to join. The success of KC Dog relies on its participants keeping us up to date about proposed consultations on Orders being introduced in their local area. Due to its broad appeal, dog owners, dog-owning MPs, and local authorities belong to KC Dog. **To join, please contact Laura Vallance on 020 7518 1020 or e-mail laura.vallance@thekennelclub.org.uk**

2. The Clean Neighbourhoods and Environment Act 2005

Although this Act gives local authorities the power to introduce dog control orders, it is important for council's to note **Defra's guidance on the Act, which states that: It is important for any authority considering a dog control order to be able to show that this is a necessary and proportionate response to problems caused by the activities of dogs and those in charge of them and; Any authority needs to balance the interest of those in charge of dogs against the interests of those affected by the activities of dogs and that a failure to give consideration to these factors could make any subsequent dog control order vulnerable in the Courts.**

- This means that if the council cannot point to specific problems to answer questions raised by constituents and justify the Order accordingly in each case, the council's Order could be challenged in the Courts.
- In a letter to the KC Dog, Minister Ben Bradshaw MP clarified: "While there is nothing to compel a local authority to act on representations made during the consultation process, such an authority may be open to a legal challenge if they introduced an Order in spite of local feeling on the issue".

3. Suggested Considerations on Dog Control Orders

3.1. General Suggested Considerations

When introducing dog control orders, KC Dog recommends that the council consider:

- The need to consult other councils on their area as well as their Local Access Forums and in many cases, Natural England.
- The need to produce evidence, on request, as to why the dog control orders are

considered necessary and proportionate e.g. what problems occur, why problems occur and how those with a responsibility for dogs have been accounted for.

- Compatibility of Orders with the Animal Welfare Act. This was introduced in England on April 6th 2007 and places a legal responsibility on dog owners to allow a dog to exhibit normal behaviour patterns i.e. walk/run freely and to provide a suitable diet i.e. food and exercise.
- The implications of Orders on those who may find compliance difficult e.g. elderly people
- Plans to issue guidance and install signs to inform the public about how to comply with new Orders.
- The promotion of different management approaches to educate dog owners and make provisions for dog owners and non dog owners without the need for extensive dog control orders

3.2 Dog Control Orders on Dog Fouling

Most responsible dog owners will pick up after their dogs. However if your council is considering introducing a dog control order on dog fouling, the following points should be considered:

- The council's plans to increase the number of poo bins
- The council's plans to produce advice for dog walkers on how to dispose of faeces in areas where there are no waste bins (and/or waste bags) present.
- Exempting elderly people from dog control orders if they find it difficult to pick up after their dog or providing long handled poop scoops to help.

3.3 Dog Control Orders on Keeping Dogs on Leads

KC Dog would be concerned about plans to introduce an Order that would make it an offence for a person in charge of a dog not to keep it on a lead in parks, sections of parks, open green spaces etc. If your council is thinking about introducing an order to this effect, KC Dog would urge the council to consider the following points:

- That keeping dogs on leads could adversely affect a dog's health, weight and behaviour if it had been used to running around vigorously. Doing so could be contrary to the principles of the Animal Welfare Act.
- That an order to keep dogs on leads would affect a dog owners' ability to exercise a dog thoroughly i.e. in terms of age, fitness level and time availability.
- If these dog owners have to travel to neighbouring areas to exercise their dogs off the lead, this would have potential negative effects on government targets to improve the environment and reduce congestion as dog walkers would have to drive their cars to other locations to walk their dogs.

3.4 Dog Control Orders on Excluding Dogs from Certain Areas

KC Dog would be concerned about plans to introduce an Order that would exclude dogs from being exercised in certain areas especially parks, sections of parks, open green spaces and beaches etc. If your council is thinking about introducing an Order to this effect, KC Dog would urge the council to consider the following points:

- Whether the council will simultaneously establish dog friendly areas of open land in the same locality. In a letter to the KC Dog, Minister Ben Bradshaw MP clarified: "We have communicated to local authorities that they should consider and communicate alternative exercising grounds for dogs in the vicinity of any proposed dog control order".
- That in line with Defra's guidance, council's do not have the power to ban dogs from public rights of way or other traditionally used through routes.
- The accessibility of alternative routes available and the feasibility of dog owners using alternative routes – dog owners require areas of flat open land, with access to shade and car parking facilities.
- Potential negative effects on government targets for health and reducing congestion i.e. if there was a decrease in the number of people taking regular daily exercise by dog walking or driving to other locations to walk their dogs.
- Non-dog owners' perceptions of dogs as a result of their being banned.

3.5 Dog Control Orders on Limiting the Number of Dogs a Person Can Walk

KC Dog considers it would be very difficult to introduce an Order to make it an offence for more than a specified number of dogs to be walked at a time due to:

- Welfare implications and dog theft implications of leaving dogs in cars while other dogs are walked – every year dogs die in cars in the warm weather.
- A dog owner's ability to exercise a number of dogs thoroughly if they were to have to do this in shifts i.e. in terms of fitness level and time availability.
- The type of dogs i.e. it may be difficult to control two very large dogs, but easy to control eight small dogs therefore blanket bans are unreasonable.

If councils experience problems with irresponsible multiple dog walkers, KC Dog would advise local authorities to introduce a licensing system so as not to damage the livelihoods of those that walk a larger number of dogs responsibly, and ensure that the health and welfare of dogs is maintained by dog owners who are reliant on dog walkers to exercise them during the day.

4. Guidance on Fixed Penalty Notices (FPN's)

KC Dog is of the view that local authorities should issue FPN's in accordance with Defra's guidance i.e. only where an offence has been committed and that these should be set at £75 and reduced to £40 if payment is made within 10 days.

BYELAWS RELATING TO PLEASURE GROUNDS

Appendix 8

King George's Field, Ashingdon Road, Ashingdon
The Playing Field, Little Wakering Road, Barling Magna
The Playing Field, Althorne Way, Canewdon
The Village Green, Canewdon
Clements Hall Playing Field, Park Gardens, Hawkwell
Hawkwell Common, Main Road, Hawkwell
Children's Playspace, Elizabeth Close, Hawkwell
Rectory Road Playspace, Hawkwell
Plumberow Mount, Plumberow Avenue, Hockley
Children's Playspace, Laburnum Grove, Hockley
The Playing Field, Pooles Lane, Hullbridge
Riverside Open Space, The Promenade, Hullbridge
Children's Playspace, off Mayfield Avenue, Hullbridge
Fairview Playing Field, Victoria Road, Rayleigh
Grove Open Space, Katherine Close, Rayleigh
King George's Field, Eastwood Road, Rayleigh
The Playing Field, Rawreth Lane, Rawreth
St. John Fisher Playing Field, Little Wheatley's Chase, Rayleigh
Children's Playspace, Causton Way, Rayleigh
Hambro Hill Open Space, Hambro Hill, Rayleigh
Children's Playspace, Church Road, Rayleigh
Daws Heath Open Space, Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh
Kingley Wood Open Space, Arterial Road, Rayleigh
Grange Open Space, off Purleigh Road, Rayleigh
Deepdene Open Space, Deepdene Avenue, Rayleigh
Ferndale Open Space, Ferndale Road, Rayleigh
Weir Buffer Strip, Richmond Drive, Rayleigh
The Recreation Ground, Stambridge Road, Rochford
(excluding the Bowling Green let to Rochford Bowls Club)

Reservoir Open Space, Bradley Way, Rochford
Children's Playspace, Sutton Court Drive, Rochford
Public Gardens, Southend Road, Rochford
Children's Playspace, Tylney Avenue, Rochford
The Playing Field, High Street, Great Wakering

A person shall not cause or suffer any dog belonging to him or in his charge to enter or remain in the pleasure ground, unless such dog be and continue to be under proper control, and be effectually restrained from causing annoyance to any person, and from worrying or disturbing any animal or waterfowl and from entering any ornamental water.

Similar provision is made in respect of Hockley Woods.