KEY TO TERMS / ABBREVIATIONS USED

ACRONYM	MEANING
ATS	Access To Services
BCPs	Business Continuity Plans
BVPI	Best Value Performance Indicator
CD (ES)	Corporate Director (External Services)
CD (IS)	Corporate Director (Internal Services)
CEx	Chief Executive
СРА	Comprehensive Performance Assessment
СРМ	Corporate Policy Manager
CRR	Corporate Risk Register
DQ&RMP	Data Quality & Records Management Policy
DRRs	Divisional Risk Registers
FPG	Financial Programmes Group
HFAPM	Head of Finance, Audit & Performance Management
HoS	Heads of Service
HRM	Human Resources Manager
IIP	Investors In People
IT	Information Technology

ACRONYM	MEANING
LA	Local Authority
LDF	Local Development Framework
LSP	Local Strategic Partnership
MPR	Members Performance Report
MTFS	Medium Term Financial Strategy
MTP	Member Training Programme
PDR	Performance Development Review
PR	Public Relations
QPR	Quarterly Performance Report
S&PMT	Strategy and Partnership Management Team
SIC	Statement of Internal Control
SLAs	Service Level Agreements
SMT	Senior Management Team
SRMT	Staffing and Resources Management Team
VFM	Value For Money
WDP	Workforce Development Plan

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Senior Management Team	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
The Council's vision and objectives fail to meet public expectation and community needs.	CEx CPM	Corporate planning process LSP processes Consultation Strategy & processes Data Quality Strategy LDF Process Access to Services (ATS) strategy	Controls: Fair Evidence: Corporate and Divisional Plans Survey Results Strategy Documents and Action Plans LDF documents	Implement the Consultation Strategy Production of new Corporate Plan – from Vision to Reality –2/08 Update Community Strategy - due 4/08 Monitoring of Action Plans LDF implementation	BVPI User Satisfaction Surveys Objectives achieved Consultation results	Six monthly Next reviews - Jan 2008 after ATS strategy Jul. 2008 after revision of Community Strategy	2	3	Med.	The Council keeps its vision and objectives under review and recognises that there is a key dependency on the accuracy, completeness and relevance of management information and other data used to inform decisions.
2. Mis-match between plans and available funding	HFAPM	Divisional Planning Process Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS)	Controls: Good Evidence: MTFS Budget book FPG minutes	Budget monitoring All plans to have specific budget allocations	Planned achievement and spend in line with budgets	Annual Next review - Jan. 2008 within Budget setting process	2	3	Med.	To be reviewed in the light of the Central Government funding settlement announced in December and the outcome of negotiations around funding for concessionary fares.
3. Council fails to recruit and retain the right people and skills.	HRM	Workforce Development Plan (WDP) IIP scheme PDR process Member training programme (MTP)	Controls: Good Evidence: Completed PDRs IIP inspections WDP reviews to SMT MTP Report to Standards Committee	Monitor PDR completion	Low staff turnover Good response to recruitment Proportion of posts filled by internal candidates MTP successful Staff survey response	Six monthly (WDP reviews and MTP reviews) Next reviews - Apr 2008 Oct 2008	3	3	Med	The Council is changing in terms of structure and service delivery and needs to have the right people and skills available to deliver its priorities (officers and members).

File: Item 12 Appx B_Risk Mngt Framework & Corporate Risk Register_Audit Committee 10-01-08 Updated:02/01/2008 12:15

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
4. Lack of a robust performance management process and poor data quality.	HFAPM	Performance Management Framework PDR Process QPR Process MPRs Data quality Strategy & Action Plan	Controls: Good Evidence: PDRs QPRs MPRs	Implement the Data Quality Action Plan Ensure SMART targets Ensure 1 to 1 meetings take place	Objectives achieved as evidenced by QPRs and MPRs	6 monthly Reviewed Nov. 2007 Next review - June 2008 – with full year performance report	2	4	Med.	The Council needs to be clear about its objectives, have clearly defined expected outcomes from service delivery and be able to monitor this effectively. Mid year and full year performance reports identify areas of good and poor performance
5a. Failure to apply a robust process for entering into partnerships.	CEx	Strategy and Partnership Management Team (S&PMT) Activity Partnership guidance document	Controls: Good Evidence: S&PMT minutes	Ensure adequate structures in place for all partnerships Implement recommendations from reviews of partnerships Review Partnership guidance	Partnership objectives are specified and all partners have clear roles and agreed resource commitments	Annual Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - Sep. 2008	4	2	Med.	The Council needs to ensure there is a clear understanding of the process for entering into partnerships and that it is consistently applied. Partnership guidance is to be updated in line with revised Government guidelines and the new National Indicator set.
5b. Council fails to monitor and review its partnerships effectively to ensure that anticipated outcomes are being achieved.	CEx	(S&PMT)Activity Partnership guidance document Bond and guarantees	Controls: Good Evidence: SLAs Partnership Review reports to Audit Committee S&PMT minutes Thames Gateway limited by guarantee	Monitor effectiveness of partnerships and identify unfulfilled requirement of partners	Partnerships achieve declared objectives	Annual Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - Sept. 2008	2	2	Low	The Council needs to ensure continued clarity about its partnership objectives, to have clearly defined expected outcomes for service delivery from partnerships and to be able to monitor this effectively. Partnership Reviews are reported to Audit Committee

File: Item 12 Appx B_Risk Mngt Framework & Corporate Risk Register_Audit Committee 10-01-08 Updated:02/01/2008 12:15

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
6.An incident occurs and the Council fails to respond effectively.	CPM (HoS)	Corporate and Divisional Business Continuity Plans (BCPs) Records Management Policies	Controls: Fair Evidence: BCPs DQ& RMP Policies IT restoration contract	Further define and agree BCPs Test BCPs by exercises	Services restored or maintained in the event of an incident. Records retrieved	Six monthly Jan. 2008	4	3	Med.	The Council's Business Continuity Plans are evolving but have yet to be tested. BCPs need to be reviewed in Jan 2008 to meet the KLOE for the Use of Resources assessment Risk includes loss of IT services and temporary or permanent loss of data
7. Political change at a national or local level leading to a change of Council priorities.	CEx Leader	Work with L.A. associations Corporate and Divsional planning Response to consultation documents Budgetary Process Member Decision Making Structure	Controls: Good Evidence: Corporate, Divisional & Service Planning in place 5 year Budget Strategy reported to Council annually External inspection reports Responses to specific initiatives	Annual review of the effectiveness of change mechanisms (conducted as part of SIC research)	The Council responds in a timely fashion to changes. Corporate and financial planning includes forward planning Good response on SIC questionnaire regarding responding to changes	Annual Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - June 2008	1	3	Low	Review is conducted as part of the research for SIC – review dates are aligned accordingly SIC updated June 2007 (SIC is to be replaced by and Annual Governance Statement in 2008)

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
8. Lack of a clear understanding of what VFM means.	HFAPM	VFM action plan Divisional VFM investigations	Controls: yet to be assessed Evidence: VFM research papers	VFM Programme of work to be defined and agreed VFM reports to Committee	VFM programme of work completed and VFM secured	6 monthly Next reviews - Feb. 2008 Aug. 2008	4	2	Med.	New risk added August 2007 The Council needs a clear understanding of what VFM means in terms of delivering services and meeting customer requirements.
9. Ineffective internal or external communication.	CEX (SMT)	Communications Strategy Departmental Management / Team meetings Staff sounding board IIP monitoring / improvements Staff induction and training PDR process Intranet Staffing & Resources MT (SRMT) oversight Core Briefing system Managed Press Release system	Controls: Good Evidence: Staff Surveys results Annual staff briefings Core Briefing for team meetings Rochford Files Completed PDRs Core Brief feedback to SMT/SRMT Generally positive press coverage Consultation & Access to Services Group activity	Ensure Communications Strategy is fully implemented Improve upward communication Review and revise corporate communications strategy Monitor briefings at Team meetings Increase external visibility of RDC via good PR Annual review of press coverage and feedback from press	Good staff survey results Positive internal and external feedback Low staff turnover Good IIP inspection results The Council receives positive press coverage. Good relationship with local media	Annual Next review - January 2008	3	2	Low	An internal and external communication system / process is developed and continues to evolve. Review to follow the ATS strategy January 2008

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
10. Failing to achieve a better grade within CPA.	CEx	Performance Plan CPA Improvement Plan Internal audit reports Dedicated Audit Committee Corporate Planning process Divisional Planning Process Data Quality Strategy/Action Plan	Controls: Good Evidence: Improvement Panel meets regularly and reviews CPA Improvement Plan. External Audit recommendation s are monitored and reported to Committee SMT minutes showing forward planning and preparation for inspections. Corporate Plans Divisional Plans Service Action Plans Performance Management framework	Carry out process reviews Embed Performance Management framework Seek CPA Re- assessment (underway)	Performance Management framework embedded in culture Good relationship with external inspectors CPA Inspection reports are favourable	Annual October 2007 (Application submitted) Further review date Feb 2008 as part of preparation for CPA inspection.	2	4	Med.	CPA re-inspection is planned for June 2008. The Council is aiming to achieve a better grade when inspected

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Like- lihood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
11. Unexpected major financial liability or uninsured loss	HFAPM	Insurance reviews Whistle Blowing policy Budget Strategy Review of Financial Reserves & Balances	Controls: Good Evidence: Insurance Policies Insurance reserve Budget Strategy Collection Fund reserve Budget/planning process includes risk assessment	Embed risk management culture	Good external audit and inspection judgements on financial and risk management Risk Assessment included in budget process	Annual Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - Sep. 2008	1	3	Low	Ex 15 on old CRR
12. High volumes of staff, client , or contractor fraud	HFAPM	Verification framework Whistle blowing/Prosecution /Fraud / policies Internal Audit Register of interests Segregation of duties SIC assurance framework Procedures	Controls: Excellent Evidence: Audit reports Register of Interests SIC document Procedure manuals Internal Audit Self assessment	Delegate specific measures to DRRs Fraud awareness training / culture Develop SIC process further	Demonstrate proactive approach to identifying areas that are high risk for fraud	Annual June 2008* * subject to revised SIC as part of new governance code of practice	2	3	Low	Ex 17 on old CRR SIC updated June 2007

Risk Description	Risk owner	Action/ controls already in place	Adequacy of controls in place and evidence	Required action/control by Management Group	Monitoring / success measures	Review frequency /key dates	Likeli- hood	Impact	Risk	Risk Management Objectives/Notes
13. Risk of contract arrangements failing	CD (ES)	Selection / Monitoring systems Adherence to Contract Procedure Rules Opt out clauses Performance bonds and guarantees	Controls: Good Evidence: Regular contract monitoring Meetings with key contractors Contractor reports Reports to Contracts Sub - Committee	Improve capabilities & capacity to speedily replace failing contractors Business Continuity Planning Risk Assessment of all major contracts	Regular contract monitoring reports Good service delivery measures Satisfactory contract monitoring reports	6 Monthly Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - February 2008	4	4	High	Ex 18 on old CRR Likelihood increased as new Waste etc contracts being sought for April 2008 onwards
14. Failure to be aware / comply with, existing / new legislation	CD(IS)	Legal monitoring new legislation Member Training Professional Membership notifications and email alerts Training and Subscriptions Website checks for compliance Local Government Association updates Communications groups notifications	Controls: Good Evidence: Committee reports Good response on SIC questionnaire regarding responding to changes	Ensure email notifications are received by appropriate officers with cover for absent colleagues	Council responds in a managed way to changes	Annual Reviewed in Strategic Risk Review Sep.2007 Next review - Sep. 2008	1	3	Low	Ex 7 on old CRR Good controls thus not a significant corporate risk. Recommend this risk be delegated to DRRs especially Legal Services