Planning Services Committee – 16 December 2004

Minutes of the meeting of the **Planning Services Committee** held on **16 December 2004** when there were present:-

Cllr A J Humphries (Chairman) Cllr K H Hudson (Vice-Chairman)

Cllr G A Mockford Cllr Mrs R Brown Cllr P A Capon Cllr R A Oatham Cllr Mrs T J Capon Cllr J M Pullen Cllr T G Cutmore Cllr P K Savill Cllr K A Gibbs Cllr S P Smith Cllr Mrs H L A Glynn Cllr D G Stansby Cllr T E Goodwin Cllr Mrs M A Starke Cllr K J Gordon Cllr M G B Starke Cllr Mrs S A Harper Cllr J Thomass Cllr C A Hungate Cllr Mrs M S Vince Cllr Mrs L Hungate Cllr Mrs M J Webster Cllr C J Lumley Cllr P F A Webster Cllr Mrs J R Lumley Cllr Mrs B J Wilkins Cllr D Merrick

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs R A Amner, C I Black, Mrs L A Butcher, R G S Choppen, J E Grey, T Livings, J R F Mason, P R Robinson, C G Seagers.

OFFICERS PRESENT

S Scrutton - Head of Planning Services
M Stranks - Team Leader (North)

N Khan - Solicitor

M Martin - Committee Administrator

550 MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting held on 25 November 2004 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

551 SCHEDULE OF DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS/ITEMS REFERRED FROM WEEKLY LIST

The Committee considered the Schedule of development applications and recommendations, together with application number 04/00940/FUL, which had been referred from the Weekly List.

Item 1 – 04/00849/OUT – 111 Ashingdon Road, Rochford

Proposal – Demolish existing petrol filling station, workshop and showroom and erect a two storey block of 14 one and two bedroomed flats with associated parking and amenity area.

Resolved

That the application be delegated to the Head of Planning Services to determine this application on the expiry of the outstanding consultation period and subject to the conditions set out in the Schedule and additional conditions and reason as set out in the addendum. (HPS)

Item R2 – 04/00940/FUL – Cottis House, Locks Hill, Rochford District Council

Proposal – Three storey rear extension, alterations to provide disabled access and revised car parking layout.

Mindful of officers' recommendation for approval, Members considered nevertheless that the application should be refused, on the grounds that there would be a loss of amenity to properties adjacent to the application site exacerbated by the poor design, resulting from the omission of windows to the extension.

Resolved

That the application be refused due to the following reason:

The proposed extension, due to the increased scale and bulk proposed, would have an unreasonable and detrimental impact upon the amenities of neighbouring residential properties fronting South Street, particularly through overshadowing of those properties and its dominance and impact that is further exaggerated by the poor design, resulting from the omission of windows to the extension. (HPS)

The meeting closed at 7.55 pm.

| Chairman |
|----------|
| |
| Date |