

<p>15/00703/FUL 11 Crown Hill, Rayleigh.</p>	<p>1. Neighbour Representations</p> <p>1 Letter has been received from the following address:-</p> <p>Crown Hill: "Ashmount" No. 9.</p> <p>And which in the main makes the following comments and objections:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ I have to comment and object in part to the application, some of which will unbalance the appearance of both these Victorian/Edwardian properties.○ The north elevation plan is showing an additional pier that does not represent the original or present boundary frontage. All of the single cast iron gates that were, had single hanging piers. Originally all of these had their own closing posts with matching railings and which were removed for the WW2 war effort.○ The planned additional pier with coping to the single centre gate to No. 11 will look completely out of place and create an unbalanced appearance to the frontage of the properties and will not represent the original look of the properties that the owner is trying to achieve. This will also apply if it is intended to place a coping stone on the existing shared centre pier for which there has been no consultation with me.○ The proposed pier to the single gate with the addition of the other pier at the end of the wall, together with the increased width of the driveway entrance, will reduce the length of the front wall considerably.○ Understand the applicant would like to park an additional car inside the front garden area.○ The new wall would not be stepped like the existing wall. Consider that as the site is now included within the Conservation Area the existing wall design should be maintained.
--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ When I moved into the house in 1946, the pavement was more narrow with stepped walls. Owners gave up half their frontages to allow pavement to be widened and to give improved visibility in the early 1960's. The County Council rebuilt the boundary walls stepped exactly as before.
--	---