## **Appendix 1**

## Risk Register & Issues Log

| Project Name: #OneTeam Transformation Programme Version_Date: 06.12.22 |   |   |   |                  |                       |                    |   |                |                       |                     |         |   |
|--|---|---|---|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|---|
| No.  | Programme/ Description of Risk Potential Impact |   | Impact  | Likeliho<br>od 🕶 | Initial Risk<br>Score | Mitigating Actions | Risk Owner  | Revised Impact | Revised<br>Likelihood | Revised<br>Risk Scc | Notes 🔀 |   |
| R4   | Programme                                       | The Programme is under resourced  | Project benefits not realised impacting on viability of delivery of the programme. Knock on effect to BAU                             | 5                | 4                     | 20                 | Budget approved to fund external commission of specialist services to deliver the programme  HR and Comms service reviews prioritised as they are critical in supporting delivery of Phase 2 of the programme  Consideration also given to supporting and creating capacity for those internal staff involved in undertaking service reviews i.e. those performing roles plus their day job, e.g. Key Change Champions  Service delivery workstream to be led by Tier 3 Assistant Director once Phase 1 completed | SRO            | 3                     | 2                   | 6       | HR & Comms Service<br>Reviews moving to delivery<br>stage and BAU                                     |
| R7   | Comms and<br>Engagement                         | Lack of engagement and understanding of the programme across all levels of the organisation | Key messages not recieved and understood. Engagement opportunities missed. Delays and/or benefits eroded. Transformation not achieved | 5                | 4                     | 20                 | Communiciations consultant brought in for up to 29 days between March and July  Communications strategy and accompanying communications handling plan in place  Annualised communications planner for project in development.   | C&E WL         | 5                     | 2                   | 10      | Risk likely to reduce further once annualised communications planner and associated actions embedded. |
| R8   | Programme                                       | Programme not delivered due to resource skill set issues                                    | Slippage, reduction in staff<br>morale and perception of<br>programme. Knock on<br>effect to BAU                                      | 5                | 4                     | 20                 | Resource planning in place for programme, including use of external specialist resources.  HR and Workforce Development Workstream will specifically address this risk  | HR & WD WL     | 3                     | 2                   | 6       | Ongoing   |

| R9     | Programme                          | Lack of cross Council/services<br>working prevents successful<br>delivery of programme   | Programme will be delayed,<br>milestones missed and<br>benefits not delivered as<br>expected                                       | 5      | 4       | 20          | Shared priorities and objectives confirmed  Project processes/templates introduced to encourage and support cross Council working   | SRO             | 2 | 2 | 4  | Work on templates being undertaken to develop cross working relationships  |
|--------|------------------------------------|--|--|--------|---------|-------------|---|-----------------|---|---|----|--|
| R11    | Programme                          | Failure to engage key stakeholders leading to lack of understanding of programme, its goals or achievements. Also leads to deterioration in working relationships with external partners at an operational and strategic level | Benefits are eroded. Reputational damage.  | 5      | 4       | 20          | Communiciations consultant brought in for up to 29 days between March and July  Communications strategy and accompanying communications handling plan in place  Stakeholder and channel mapping being undertaken  Annualised communications planner for project in development  | C&E WL          | 5 | 3 | 15 | Comms service review complete and moving to implementation stage with expected completion by May 2023. Will assist with joint comms streaegy and annualised planning                 |
| R14    | HR and<br>Workforce<br>Development | Staff are not supported through transformational change  | Slippage and reduction in staff morale   | 5      | 4       | 20          | HR and Workforce Development Workstream will specifically address this risk - programme budget has been allocated to required training  | HR & WD WL      | 3 | 3 | 9  | HR Service Review moving to delivery stage and BAU   |
| R15    | HR and<br>Workforce<br>Development | Policy framework and terms and conditions are not resolved   | Slippage and reduction in staff morale   | 5      | 4       | 20          | HR and Workforce Development Workstream will specifically address this risk and considered within service reviews   | HR & WD WL      | 3 | 4 | 12 | Comp-leted   |
| R19    | Comms and<br>Engagement            | Current market for communications specialists makes recruitment into revised roles more challenging.   | Failure to recruit. Delays<br>and/or benefits eroded.<br>Transformation not<br>achieved. Reputational<br>damage                    | 5      | 4       | 20          | None at present   | C&E WL          | 4 | 4 | 16 | This risk will become clearer as the implementation of the business case is delivered. Market may also change as current situation is believed to have only arisen in past 6 months. |
| R23    | Programme                          | Impact of Tier 3 review and vacant posts   | Programme will be delayed,<br>milestones missed and<br>benefits not delivered as<br>expected                                       | 5      | 4       | 20          | Recruitment to vacant Tier 3 posts and Road Map reviewed in light of appointments made June 2022. Interim arrangements in place as may be required (to be discussed at monthly #OneTeam Project Team meetings). 12.07.22 position to be assessed at Project Team meeting September 2022 - agreed Phase 2 remains on track. Nov 22 - interim arrangements in place therefore risk reduced until final permanent appointments and full establishment of Tier 3 in place | PS              | 3 | 3 | 9  | Tier 3 recruitment to be<br>undertaken in Spring 2023,<br>covered by interims at<br>present  |
| R24    | Programme                          | Finance function under resourced   | Phase 2 service reviews within the Programme will be delayed, milestones missed and benefits not delivered as expected             | 5      | 4       | 20          | 06.10.22 Recrutiment to vacant posts underway. SD WL considering best way to create capacity and temporary resourcing within Phase 2 of the Programme   | SRO             | 3 | 3 | 9  | Situation imp0acted further with present staff not available due to personnel reasons or sickness. Continuing to discuss situation with Finaance Managers                            |
| R25    | ICT                                | Failure to manage risks within ICT, including resources, contract and management workload  | Phase 2 service reviews<br>within the Programme will be<br>delayed, milestones missed<br>and benefits not delivered as<br>expected | 5      | 4       | 20          | Regular Meetings held with ICT on their particular workstream<br>Involved in the OneTeam Review process therefore aware of particulare<br>workload  | DoCS&D          | 5 | 2 | 10 | Continue to be part of process.  |
| Defin  | itions                             |  |  |        |         |             |   |                 |   |   |    |  |
| PEIIII | Impact                             | Likelihood   |  |        |         | Key         | Name, Position  |                 |   |   |    |  |
| 1      | Negligible                         | Unlikely   |  |        | Proj    |             | ,   | PS              |   |   |    |  |
| 2      | Minor                              | Less Likely  |  |        |         |             | Emily Yule, Strategic Director - from October 2022  | SRO             |   |   |    |  |
| 3      | Moderate                           | Likely   |  |        |         |             | Nichola Mann, GR. Manager   | HR & WD WL      |   |   |    |  |
| 4      | Significant                        | Very Likely  |  |        | •       |             | Greg Campbell - Director - Policy & Delivery  | SD WL<br>C&E WL |   |   |    |  |
| 5      | Major                              | Definite   | Communication & Enga   | agemer | it work | stream Lead | Leona Murray-Green, Comms Manager   | COE WL          |   |   |    |  |

## **Issues Log**

| Project N   | lame: #OneT                                 | eam Transformation Programme   |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Version_[   | Date: 16.01.23                              |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Ref <b>▼</b>  | Date Logg                                   | Issue  | ▼ Imp                | act 🔻                     | Priority <b>•</b> | Action(s)   | Update & Date   |  |  |  |
| I1  |   | 24.02.22 Clarity on agreed shared principles and priorities to guide Phase 2 service reviews |                      | Delay to start of Phase 2 |                   | JS working with Members to aim to have shared<br>principles and priorities to guide Phase 2 service<br>reviews  | 6.01.23 - alignment review of Corporate Strategy and usiness Plan being undertaken by JS in liaison with ey Member leads  |  |  |  |
| Ĭ2  | I2 24.02.22 Lack of key programme resources |  | Inability to deliver | programme                 | Critical          | internal staff to key programme project roles to build resilience within the organisation to be able to maintain momentum, capacity and energy to deliver programme  Consideration of extra resource to support financial | 16.01.22 - key programme resources commissioned and in place. Additional resources to be considered by the SRO within the allocated programme resourcing budget  HR and Comms service reviews prioritised and |  |  |  |
| Key   |   |  |                      |                           |                   | information to be considered Prioritise HR and Comms service reviews  | implementation of business cases being delivered  |  |  |  |
| Critical Project will stop if issue not resolved  |   |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| High Project budget, timeline, quality or scope will be effected (exceeding tolerance) if issue not resolved  |   |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Medium Project budget, timeline, quality or scope may be moderately effected (within tolerance) if issue not resolved. Potential to escalate to "High". |   |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Low Project budget, timeline, quality or scope may be slightly effected (within tolerance) if issue not resolved.                                       |   |  |                      |                           |                   |   |   |  |  |  |