

REVIEW OF LOCALLY DETERMINED PROGRAMME 1999/2000 AND LOCALLY DETERMINED PROGRAMME 2000/2001

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 To review the locally determined programme for 1999/2000 and present and approve the locally determined programme for the 2000/2001 financial year based on the budget allocation of £75,186.

2.0 Report on 1999/2000 Locally Determined Programme (LDP)

- 2.1 The 1999/2000 LDP is reported in Appendix A. The notes accompanying the table give an explanation of progress on schemes.
- 2.2 A predicted underspend (mostly due to the lack of progress on the footway beside Stambridge Post Office and the adoption of Old School Meadow) has been avoided by constructing the three schemes below -
A129 High Road, Rayleigh – traffic island for pedestrians
Little Wakering Road, Barling – pedestrian facility
Upway/Station Crescent, Rayleigh – pedestrian facility
All three schemes are from the 1999/2000 locally determined lists but were not initially selected for funding.
- 2.3 £82,943 of the £83,976 allocation has been spent.
- 2.4 Two schemes from the 1999/2000 locally determined lists which were also not selected for the 1999/2000 programme have been funded from other budgets and are consequently not on this years lists.
1. Buckingham Road, Hockley – forms part of the Hockley Review of Traffic Regulation Orders
 2. Stambridge Road from village to hall – improvements to footway has been partially carried out using Safer Routes to School funding.
- 2.5 Three schemes that were approved but not completed in 1999/2000 are included in the 2000/2001 Locally Determined Programme in section 3.9.
1. Implementation of revised Traffic Regulation Orders in Hockley
£13,000
 2. Footway construction, Post Office Stambridge Road, Stambridge
£25,000
 3. Works for adoption of Old School Meadow, Great Wakering £4,000

- 2.6 London Road/Victoria Avenue/Hatfield Road, Rayleigh junction pedestrian facilities at traffic lights to be funded from a countywide budget.

3.0 Locally Determined Programme 2000/2001

- 3.1 Due to the total value of locally determined scheme list exceeding the probable allocation, local views on the distribution of the funding have been sought by consulting with County Councillors, District Councillors, Parish Councils and Rayleigh Town Council. In December 1999 they were invited to prioritise Locally Determined Schemes using the general headings listed below and also to put forward specific schemes.

- 3.2 The nine categories prioritised are as follows:-

Traffic Regulation Orders	Weight and waiting restrictions, residents parking, prohibitions etc.
Pedestrian Facilities	At signalled junctions, pelicans, zebras, refuges, pram crossings etc
Speed Reduction	Speed limit alterations, traffic calming, speed reduction campaign
General Improvements	Bollards on footways, hardening/protection of verges etc.
Street Lighting Improvements	
New/Improved Footways	
Cycling Facilities	
Layout Improvements	Junction improvements, major signing alterations
Special Maintenance	Noise Reduction, grass cutting, tree clearance

- 3.3 59 letters were sent out and 22 responses were received.

- 3.4 The replies have been analysed and the resultant list of local priorities is -
- 1 Speed Reduction Measures
 - 2 Footway Improvements/New Footways
 - 3 Layout Improvements
 - 4 Pedestrian Facilities
 - 5 General Improvements
 - 6 Traffic Regulation Orders
 - 7 Cycling Facilities
 - 8 Special Maintenance
 - 9 Street Lighting Improvements

- 3.5 Additional schemes/measures, proposed through the consultation that should be funded through the locally determined budget have been

added to the lists that form Appendix B. The lists are based on the 9 categories.

- 3.6 The proposed programme includes the three schemes listed in section 2.5 which need completing in 2000/2001 and reflects the results of the returned replies show that speed reduction schemes and pedestrian facilities are a priority.
- 3.7 The consultation showed that the remaining categories are still important and should be progressed. Within Appendix B the selected schemes are highlighted on the lists.
- 3.8 Funding for Safer Routes to School is now a Countywide initiative which is separately funded so therefore does not form part of the Locally Determined Programme for 2000/2001.

3.9

2000/2001 Locally Determined Programme

<i>Scheme Title</i>	<i>Estimate £</i>
Pedestrian Facilities	
Station Road, Rayleigh – outside Rail Station	30,000
Pram crossings	2,000
Speed Reduction	
“Kill Your Speed” campaign	5,400
Coventry Hill, Hullbridge)
Greensward Lane, Hockley	17,000
Church Road, Hockley)
Bardfield Way, Rayleigh)
Traffic Regulation Orders	
Implementation of revisions to Traffic Regulation Orders, completion of Hockley Review	13,000
Golden Cross Parade, Hawkwell – Traffic Regulation Orders for one way system	1,500
New/Improved Footways	
Stambridge Road near the Post Office	25,000
Layout Improvements	
Golden Cross Parade, Hawkwell – works	7,000
General Improvements	
London Road/A130 Rayleigh	3,000
Old School Meadows – contribution to adoptable standard	4,000
Investigatory works for future schemes including surveys and consultations, and any urgent schemes identified for inclusion in 2000/2001 programme	4,000
Consultation on possible residential area traffic schemes	10,000

-
- 3.10 The 2000/2001 programme estimate exceeds the budget allocation. Many of the schemes involve third party consents, consultation or the implementation of Traffic Regulation Orders which may draw objections. It is also anticipated that the new contracted arrangements for delivering the highway service will provide savings. As a consequence it is considered prudent to overprogramme the locally determined budget.

4.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The County Council will provide and organise staff requirements including the processing of Traffic Regulation Orders and the partnership with Alfred McAlpine as part of Contract 2000.

5.0 RECOMMENDATION

- 5.1 The proposed programme be adopted as the 2000/2001 Locally Determined Programme for Rochford District.
-

Background Papers:

Letter sent to County Councillors, District Councillors, Town Councillors and Parish Councils in the Rochford District, 10th December 1999.

Responses of this letter are held at the South Area Office, Rayleigh

Appendices.

Appendix A – Rochford locally determined budget for 1999/2000

Appendix B – Lists of prioritised schemes in their respective categories.

For further information please contact Trudy Mills/Lyn Harvey on 01268 771458.

Appendix A

Rochford locally determined budget 1999/2000

<i>Scheme Title</i>	<i>Allocation £</i>	<i>Committed £</i>	<i>Note No. c-completed</i>
Pedestrian Facilities			
London Road/Victoria Avenue/Hatfield Road junction, Rayleigh (Pedestrian facilities at traffic lights)	8,000	7,172	1
Pram Crossings	2,000	1,857	2,C
1 st ranked crossing (Outside St Teresa's School, Ashingdon Road)	10,000	10,886	C
Little Wakering Road, Barling	Nil	7,235	See section 2.3
Upway/Station Crescent, Rayleigh	Nil	2,809	
Speed Reduction			
Hall Road, Hawkwell/Rochford –(extend 30mph and new 40mph speed limit)	7,000	15,025	C
"Kill Your Speed" campaign	6,000	4,824	C
Speed Reduction Sites	7,000	5,561	3,C
Traffic Regulation Orders			
Review of waiting restrictions in Hockley	12,500	7,740	4
Victoria Avenue/London Road/Hatfield Road, Rayleigh – no waiting at any time	2,500	654	C
Footway Improvements/New Footways			
1 st ranked footway – Anchor Lane, Canewdon	3,000	353	5
Stambridge Road	12,000	496	6
Layout Improvements			
A129 London Road, Rayleigh(approach to Carpenters Arms rabt)	3,000	1,000	7
Old School Meadow, Great Wakering (contribution to making up to adoptable standard)	4,000	0	8
A129 High Road, Rayleigh – traffic island for pedestrians	Nil	12,112	See section 2.3
General Improvements			
Queens Road/Eastwood Road, Rayleigh (remove brick planter, resurface & bollards)	3,000	1,450	C
Investigatory works for future schemes Including surveys and consultations and any urgent schemes identified for inclusion in the1999/2000 programme	3,976	3,769	9C
Totals	83,976	82,943	

Notes

1. The revised scheme estimate exceeds the 1999/2000 allocation which has been used to the traffic signal equipment required. The completion of the scheme will be funded from a County budget for the installation of pedestrian facilities at traffic signals.
2. Pram crossings completed in 1999/2000.
 - a) Hockley Road/entrance to the cemetery, Rayleigh, both sides
 - b) Harrogate Drive/Greensward Lane, Hockley, both sides
 - c) Ashingdon Road outside St. Teresa's school over both vehicle accesses, Hawkwell
 - d) Ashingdon Road/Albert Road, Hawkwell, one side
 - e) Lower Road/Greensward Lane, Hockley, one side
 - f) Crouch View Road/Greensward Lane, Hockley, both sides
 - g) Upway/Station Crescent, Rayleigh, both sides
 - h) Castle Drive/Crown Hill, one side
3. Sites at which speed reduction measures have been introduced
1999/2000 Ashingdon Road, Ashingdon
 Shoebury Road, Great Wakering
 Hockley Road, Rayleigh
Additional road signs should be installed before the end of the financial year.
4. Revised Traffic Regulation Orders advertised. The signs and lines needed to implement the changes will be carried out in the 2000/2001 financial year. See carry over item A.
5. The scheme proposed was not accepted by the Parish Council and has not been implemented.
6. Land acquisition for this scheme is taking longer than anticipated, progress will be reported at the meeting. The revised estimate is considerably more than reported in last year's programme. See carry over item B.
7. This site is both an Accident Reduction 2000 programme site and a congestion relief programme site. The use of the junction has been videoed and measures being considered will be the subject of a future report.
8. This work is dependent on the road owner's agreement to providing part of the finance and giving his consent for the work to be carried out. We have recently had no response to our letters. The carrying forward of this scheme to the next financial year should be determined by this Committee. See carry over item C.
9. The traffic survey sites given below have been investigated and the results will form part of a future report.
 - a) Sutton Court Drive and Warwick Drive, Rochford
 - b) Glasseys Lane, Weir Gardens and Roach Avenue, Rayleigh