

Item 4	Contents
14/00903/FUL 132 London Road Rayleigh SS6 9BN	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Further Neighbour Comments2. Further Rayleigh Town Council Response3. Further Agent Comments4. Officer Comments <p>1. Further Neighbour Comments</p> <p>Responses to the re-consultation have been received from the following addresses, 20 Vernon Avenue, 12 Brunswick Place, 17 Vernon Avenue and 36 Vernon Avenue, which can be summarised as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The revised plan seems to have answered my previous objections, but has raised other issues:-<ol style="list-style-type: none">1 The revised plan showing heavy vehicle entrance and exit to/from the site looks reasonable, however, the final reverse into the corner is where Tesco stores the cages and is always full. Where does Tesco propose relocating these or will it remain an issue and negate the plan's purpose?2 The revised plan seems to stop heavy vehicles exiting onto Vernon Avenue. I agree that is a good idea, but what will happen with the bollards? Will they be removed and an alternative barrier installed? One of the continuing problems for traffic has been the constant parking of cars on that crossover. If the idea is to retain them, that will not solve that problem. If it is intended to stop heavy vehicles exiting onto Vernon Avenue then the crossover must be removed and restored to grass verges.3 Can we rely on the County Highways Parking Partnership to monitor the situation? They have failed miserably since Tesco opened. Parking to visit the shop has been a constant issue with inconsiderate parking and illegal parking on double yellow lines; the main problem for residents is to exit onto London Road. Both of the above have never been resolved.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Better design to the car park than the current arrangement that is very poor as you often can't turn around due to too many cars in the current car park. ○ I agree that there should be only an entrance/exit onto London Road as there is a safety issue if there was an exit on Vernon Avenue. The drop-down kerb needs to be raised. The appropriate authorities need to come and check people parking on double yellow lines as this is a constant issue. The grass verges need sorting out appropriately so that people are unable to park on any of the grass, which at the moment looks awful. Proper bins need to be put into the plan. There is now constant rubbish around the store. ○ While adding some spaces may appear to be an improvement please take the following into consideration:-<ol style="list-style-type: none">1 Nowhere within this planning application does it show a commitment from Tesco or Essex County Council about repairing the footpaths and grass verges down Vernon Avenue. What is Tesco's commitment to us residents? 2 Nowhere in this application does it mention what Tesco plans to do about staff parking. Staff often park down Vernon Avenue causing more obstructions to a narrow road as it is. 3 I have not seen any traffic surveys produced by Tesco to support this application, or any commitment by Tesco to improve the local infrastructure in making traffic flow better in the area around the store. 4 There is no mention in this application on how Tesco plans to police poor parking in Vernon Avenue where often the junction to London Road gets blocked by inappropriate parking by their customers.
--	---

	<p>5 Tesco lorries often reverse within the car park or London Road/Vernon Avenue without a banks man, which is a safety concern for pedestrians, let alone road users.</p> <p>2. Further Rayleigh Town Council Response</p> <p>No objection.</p> <p>3. Further Agent Comments</p> <p>I can confirm that Tesco agrees to the ECC recommended contribution of £3,000.</p> <p>4. Officer Comments</p> <p>Recommendation to remain as Approval, subject to a legal agreement with a head of term for the £3,000 Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) and the conditions in the report.</p>
<p>Item 6(2) 14/00892/FUL</p> <p>Land Between Main Road And Rectory Road And Clements Hall Way Hawkwell</p>	<p>Further Neighbour Representations</p> <p>One anonymous letter has been received since the preparation of the officer report and which makes the following comments and objections:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Yet more mud on the road this morning. ○ Have been out in the front garden earlier and watched one after the other JKS lorries going past with mud and stones flicking up off of all the tyres. ○ It is so obvious that the wheel washing by jet washer is nowhere near as effective as the automatic wheel washer that DWH removed. ○ We have complained yet again to the site manager who has just been out to look at the mess with about 4 of his work men, one of whom said: "that mud has not come off the lorry wheels." She told him that was rubbish as she stood and watched at least two JKS lorries in the last 15 minutes.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The site manager asked her whether the road sweeper lorry had been past this morning and we said no and we rarely see it now; he said he will get it fixed.○ The site manager has said this so many times in the past and still the problem persists - it is interesting that not one of the 5 men viewing the mess had the decency to apologise.○ So much for the concerns of local residents being paramount to DWH.
--	--